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## About this poll

This report summarises the results of a fortnightly omnibus conducted by Essential Research with data provided by Qualtrics. The survey was conducted online from $24^{\text {th }}$ to $28^{\text {th }}$ March 2021 and is based on 1,100 respondents.

The methodology used to carry out this research is described in the appendix at the end of the report.

Note that due to rounding, not all tables necessarily total $100 \%$ and subtotals may also vary.

## Performance of Scott Morrison

Q Do you approve or disapprove of the job Scott Morrison is doing as Prime Minister?

|  | 29 Mar'21 | 15 Mar'21 | Feb'21 | Jan'21 | Dec'20 | Nov'20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL: Approve | 57\% | 62\% | 65\% | 61\% | 62\% | 66\% |
| TOTAL: Disapprove | 35\% | 29\% | 28\% | 30\% | 28\% | 25\% |
| Don't know | 8\% | 8\% | 7\% | 9\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Base (n) | 1,100 | 1,124 | 1,109 | 1,084 | 1,071 | 1,010 |


| TOTAL: Approve |  | 29 Mar'21 | 15 Mar'21 | Feb'21 | Jan'21 | Dec'20 | Nov'20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% | 62\% | 68\% | 65\% |
|  | Women | 49\% | 59\% | 65\% | 59\% | 56\% | 67\% |
| TOTAL: Disapprove |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Men | 30\% | 28\% | 28\% | 32\% | 25\% | 28\% |
|  | Women | 40\% | 30\% | 28\% | 29\% | 30\% | 23\% |

- The Prime Minister's approval rating has dropped to $57 \%$ (from $62 \%$ earlier in the month) driven by lower approval among women (59\% to 49\%).
- Disapproval of the PM among women has increased to $40 \%$, from $30 \%$ two weeks ago.


## Women in Politics

Q Currently there are 45 (out of 151) federal MPs who are women.
To what extent to you agree or disagree with the following reasons why there are fewer women than men in parliament.
$\left.\begin{array}{rrr}\text { TOTAL: Agree } & \text { Mar'21 } & \text { Feb'19 } \\ \hline \text { Political parties do not do enough to ensure gender equality in their } \\ \text { organisations }\end{array}\right)$

| TOTAL: Agree | Total | Gender |  | Age Group |  |  | Federal Voting Intention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | $55+$ | Labor | TOTAL: Coalition | Greens | TOTAL: Other |
| Political parties do not do enough to ensure gender equality in their organisations | 63\% | 58\% | 68\% | 66\% | 61\% | 62\% | 71\% | 59\% | 75\% | 56\% |
| The process used by political parties to select electoral candidates favours men, not women | 56\% | 53\% | 60\% | 54\% | 59\% | 56\% | 63\% | 52\% | 67\% | 55\% |
| Women choose not to get involved with politics | 43\% | 52\% | 34\% | 36\% | 45\% | 47\% | 37\% | 51\% | 39\% | 49\% |
| Voters prefer to elect men, rather than women | 40\% | 43\% | 36\% | 52\% | 40\% | 29\% | 37\% | 46\% | 39\% | 41\% |
| Women are less likely than men to have the experience and skills to make a good politician | 20\% | 28\% | 12\% | 23\% | 23\% | 13\% | 16\% | 27\% | 17\% | 19\% |
| Base (n) | 1,100 | 539 | 561 | 332 | 382 | 386 | 382 | 389 | 92 | 122 |

- The main barrier to female representation in parliament is seen to be the parties themselves. Almost two-thirds agree that political parties do not do enough to encourage gender equality in their organisations. Agreement with this statement has increased from $57 \%$ in 2019. The majority of Coalition (59\%), Labor (71\%) and Greens voters (75\%) all agreed with this statement.
- Just over half of people ( $56 \%$ ) agreed that the selection process is biased towards men.
- Women are more likely than men to agree that 'Political parties do not do enough to ensure gender equality in their organisations' ( $68 \%$ to $58 \%$ ) and 'The process used by political parties to select electoral candidates favours men, not women' ( $60 \%$ to $53 \%$ ).
- While men are more likely than women to agree that 'Women choose not to get involved with politics' ( $52 \%$ to $34 \%$ ), 'Voters prefer to elect men, rather than women' ( $43 \%$ to $36 \%$ ) and 'Women are less likely than men to have the experience and skills to make a good politician' (28\% to 12\%).


## Gender quotas

Q To what extent do you support or oppose political parties setting gender quotas when selecting candidates to achieve a representative number of women in parliament?

|  |  | Mar'21 | Feb'19 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Strongly support | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | $18 \%$ |
| Somewhat support | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ |  |
| Somewhat oppose | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |  |
| Strongly oppose | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |  |
| Unsure | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $14 \%$ |  |
| TOTAL: Support | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |  |
| TOTAL: Oppose | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |  |
| Base $(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 0}$ | 1,101 |  |


|  | Gender |  |  | Age Group |  |  | Federal Voting Intention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Labor | TOTAL: Coalition | Greens | TOTAL: Other |
| Strongly support | 21\% | 21\% | 20\% | 23\% | 26\% | 13\% | 22\% | 19\% | 40\% | 19\% |
| Somewhat support | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 33\% | 25\% | 25\% | 32\% | 29\% | 27\% | 22\% |
| Somewhat oppose | 21\% | 23\% | 19\% | 16\% | 19\% | 26\% | 21\% | 24\% | 13\% | 22\% |
| Strongly oppose | 15\% | 17\% | 12\% | 10\% | 14\% | 19\% | 10\% | 19\% | 4\% | 24\% |
| Unsure | 16\% | 11\% | 21\% | 18\% | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% | 9\% | 16\% | 14\% |
| TOTAL: Support | 48\% | 49\% | 47\% | 56\% | 52\% | 38\% | 54\% | 48\% | 67\% | 40\% |
| TOTAL: Oppose | 36\% | 40\% | 31\% | 26\% | 33\% | 46\% | 31\% | 43\% | 17\% | 46\% |
| Base (n) | 1,100 | 539 | 561 | 332 | 382 | 386 | 382 | 389 | 92 | 122 |

- Support for the introduction of gender quotas for candidate selection is unchanged from 2019 at $46 \%$ ( $48 \%$ in 2019).
- $48 \%$ of Coalition party voters support the introduction of quotas, $43 \%$ oppose and $9 \%$ are unsure.


## Views towards workplace culture and treatment of women in Parliament House

Q Following allegations of sexual assault and misogynistic behaviour by staffers, a review into Parliament House workplace culture has been initiated.

Which of the following is closest to your view on the issue?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age Group |  |  | Federal Voting Intention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | $55+$ | Labor | TOTAL: Coalition | Greens | TOTAL: Other |
| Recent revelations about the culture in Parliament House is an isolated workplace issue, primarily about the safety of women working in politics | 40\% | 50\% | 30\% | 47\% | 44\% | 29\% | 30\% | 52\% | 30\% | 41\% |
| Recent revelations about the culture in Parliament House are symptomatic of the discrimination of women in society | 60\% | 50\% | 70\% | 53\% | 56\% | 71\% | 70\% | 48\% | 70\% | 59\% |
| Base (n) | 1,100 | 539 | 561 | 332 | 382 | 386 | 382 | 389 | 92 | 122 |

- More people believe that the allegations of sexual assault and misogynistic culture in Parliament House is a wider society issue, than isolated to Federal politics ( $60 \%$ to $40 \%$ ).
- This view was more strongly held by women than Men (70\% to 50\%).
- Labor and Greens voters were more likely to think the culture is shared by society (both $70 \%$ ), while Coalition voters were more likely to believe the issues are isolated to politics (52\%).


## Action to address gender inequality in Australia

Q To what extent do you support or oppose the following measures to address gender inequality in Australia?

|  | TOTAL: Support | TOTAL: Oppose | Strongly support | Somewhat support | Neither support, nor oppose | Somewhat oppose | Strongly oppose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organise a national summit of all state and federal leaders to set targets and policies to reduce violence and sexual assault | 69\% | 9\% | 37\% | 32\% | 22\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Implement the recommendations of the respect@work inquiry | 68\% | 8\% | 33\% | 34\% | 24\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Establish a specialist sexual assault court | 62\% | 13\% | 30\% | 31\% | 25\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| Universal access to free child-care and early learning for families with young children | 60\% | 16\% | 30\% | 30\% | 24\% | 9\% | 8\% |


| TOTAL: Support | Total | Gender |  | Age Group |  |  | Federal Voting Intention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | Labor | TOTAL: Coalition | Greens | TOTAL: Other |
| Organise a national summit of all state and federal leaders to set targets and policies to reduce violence and sexual assault | 69\% | 65\% | 73\% | 68\% | 68\% | 71\% | 76\% | 68\% | 70\% | 63\% |
| Implement the recommendations of the respect@work inquiry | 68\% | 62\% | 73\% | 64\% | 69\% | 70\% | 74\% | 65\% | 80\% | 59\% |
| Establish a specialist sexual assault court | 62\% | 53\% | 70\% | 64\% | 64\% | 58\% | 67\% | 58\% | 74\% | 56\% |
| Base (n) | 1,100 | 539 | 561 | 332 | 382 | 386 | 382 | 389 | 92 | 122 |

- There is majority support for all of the proposed steps to address gender inequality in Australia including a national summit to reduce violence and sexual assault (69\% support), implement the recommendations of the respect@work inquiry (68\%) and the establishment of a specialist sexual assault court ( $62 \%$ ).
- In all cases women were more likely than men to support making the changes. Still, over half of men support making changes.


## Impact of Casualisation of work

Q Approximately 35\% of Australia's workforce is now employed on a casual or contract basis.
Casual employees are not entitled to annual or sick leave or superannuation. They also face greater employment uncertainty. However, people choose casual work as it can provide higher wages and more flexibility in terms of hours.

Overall, do you think casual work has been good or bad for the following people or groups?

|  | NET: Good | NET: Bad | Very good | Quite good | Neither good, nor bad | Quite bad | Very bad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employers | 65\% | 11\% | 22\% | 43\% | 24\% | 8\% | 3\% |
| The economy | 46\% | 19\% | 13\% | 33\% | 35\% | 14\% | 6\% |
| Individual workers | 42\% | 29\% | 12\% | 30\% | 29\% | 19\% | 10\% |
| The nation | 41\% | 26\% | 11\% | 30\% | 33\% | 18\% | 8\% |
| For you personally | 30\% | 17\% | 12\% | 18\% | 53\% | 9\% | 8\% |

- Casual labour is seen to most benefit employers (65\% think casual work has been very good or quite good) and the economy (46\%).
- While $42 \%$ of people think casual work has been good for individual workers, $29 \%$ think it has been very bad or quite bad.
- Around a third of people (30\%) say casual work has been good for them personally.


## Support for action for casual workers

Q To what extent do you support or oppose the following measures to protect the rights of workers currently employed on casual contracts?

|  | TOTAL: Support | TOTAL: Oppose | Strongly support | Somewhat support | Somewhat oppose | Strongly oppose | Unsure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Right of workers to convert from casual to permanent after six months constant employment if they choose | 84\% | 10\% | 53\% | 31\% | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Recognise the status of gig-based workers who do regular hours as permanent | 80\% | 8\% | 44\% | 37\% | 5\% | 3\% | 12\% |
| Mobile leave entitlements for casuals and contract workers (sick leave, LSL) | 74\% | 14\% | 41\% | 33\% | 10\% | 5\% | 11\% |


| TOTAL: Support | Total | Gender |  | Age Group |  |  | Federal Voting Intention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | $55+$ | Labor | TOTAL: Coalition | Greens | TOTAL: Other |
| Right of workers to convert from casual to permanent after six months constant employment if they choose | 84\% | 80\% | 87\% | 83\% | 81\% | 87\% | 87\% | 83\% | 87\% | 79\% |
| Recognise the status of gig-based workers who do regular hours as permanent | 80\% | 80\% | 81\% | 80\% | 78\% | 83\% | 83\% | 81\% | 85\% | 73\% |
| Mobile leave entitlements for casuals and contract workers (sick leave, LSL) | 74\% | 72\% | 77\% | 82\% | 72\% | 70\% | 78\% | 74\% | 82\% | 65\% |
| Base ( n ) | 1,100 | 539 | 561 | 332 | 382 | 386 | 382 | 389 | 92 | 122 |

- There is strong support for greater protection of workers' rights. $84 \%$ support the right of workers to convert from casual to permanent after six months constant employment if they choose, $80 \%$ support the recognition of the status of gig-based workers who do regular hours as permanent and $74 \%$ support the introduction of Mobile leave entitlements for casuals and contract workers.


## Republic

Q To what extent would you support or oppose Australia becoming a republic with an Australian head of state?

|  | Mar'21 | Nov'18 | May'18 | Jan'18 | Jan'17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL: Support | 48\% | 44\% | 48\% | 44\% | 44\% |
| TOTAL: Oppose | 28\% | 32\% | 30\% | 29\% | 30\% |
| Unsure | 25\% | 24\% | 22\% | 26\% | 26\% |
| Base ( n ) | 1,100 | 1,028 | 1,025 | 1,038 | 1,015 |


|  | Total | Gender |  | Age Group |  |  | Federal Voting Intention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | $55+$ | Labor | TOTAL: Coalition | Greens | TOTAL: Other |
| Strongly oppose | 16\% | 18\% | 14\% | 9\% | 14\% | 23\% | 14\% | 20\% | 6\% | 23\% |
| Somewhat oppose | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 10\% | 17\% | 14\% | 9\% |
| Somewhat support | 24\% | 23\% | 26\% | 27\% | 24\% | 22\% | 26\% | 25\% | 23\% | 19\% |
| Strongly support | 23\% | 32\% | 15\% | 18\% | 25\% | 26\% | 27\% | 22\% | 29\% | 26\% |
| Unsure | 25\% | 16\% | 33\% | 33\% | 25\% | 17\% | 23\% | 17\% | 28\% | 24\% |
| TOTAL: Oppose | 28\% | 29\% | 26\% | 21\% | 26\% | 35\% | 25\% | 37\% | 20\% | 31\% |
| TOTAL: Support | 48\% | 55\% | 41\% | 45\% | 49\% | 48\% | 52\% | 47\% | 52\% | 45\% |
| Base (n) | 1,100 | 539 | 561 | 332 | 382 | 386 | 382 | 389 | 92 | 122 |

- Support for republic is now at $48 \%$, consistent with previous years.
- Support for a republic with an Australian head of state is strongest among Labor (52\%) and Greens voters (52\%). Those aged over 55 were most likely to oppose the establishment of a republic (35\%).
- A third of women and those aged 18-35 (both $33 \%$ ) are unsure on their support or opposition to Australia becoming a republic.


## Appendix: Household income definitions*

## Up to $\$ 51,999$ per year

| TOTAL: Lower Income to $\$ 51,999$ per year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total of all wages/salaries, government benefits, pensions, allowances and other income that your household usually receives |
| (GROSS - before tax and superannuation deductions) |

## Appendix: Methodology, margin of error and professional standards

The data gathered for this report is gathered from a fortnightly online omnibus conducted by Qualtrics.
Every two weeks, the team at Essential considers issues that are topical, and a series of questions are devised to put to the Australian public. Some questions are repeated regularly (such as political preference and leadership approval), while others are unique to each week and reflect current media and social issues.

The response rate varies each week, but usually delivers $1000+$ interviews. In theory, with a sample of this size, there is 95 per cent certainty that the results are within 3 percentage points of what they would be if the entire population had been polled. However, this assumes random sampling, which, because of nonresponse and less than $100 \%$ population coverage cannot be achieved in practice. Furthermore, there are other possible sources of error in all polls including question wording and question order, interviewer bias (for telephone and face-to-face polls), response errors and weighting.

The online omnibus is live from the Wednesday night and closed on the following Sunday. Incentives are offered to participants. Essential Research uses the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software to analyse the data. The data is weighted against Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) data.
All Essential Research staff hold Research Society membership and are bound by professional codes of behaviour.

